

IMM-NYU 320
FEBRUARY 1964



NEW YORK UNIVERSITY
COURANT INSTITUTE OF
MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

Stresses in a Perforated Cylindrical Shell

FRANCES BAUER and EDWARD L. REISS

PREPARED UNDER
CONTRACT NO. NONR-285(42)
WITH THE
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
AND
CONTRACT NO. AT(30-1)-1480
WITH THE
UNITED STATES ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

IMM-320
c.1

New York University
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences

STRESSES IN A PERFORATED CYLINDRICAL SHELL

Frances Bauer and Edward L. Reiss

This report represents results obtained at the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, with the Office of Naval Research, Contract No. Nonr-285(42) and the United States Atomic Energy Commission, Contract AT(30-1)-1480. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

ABSTRACT

It is assumed that the deformations of a thin cylindrical elastic shell, subjected to a uniform lateral pressure, are adequately described by the Donnell shell equations. The surface of the shell is perforated by a rectangular cutout. The tangential membrane displacements and the normal membrane stresses vanish on the simply supported edges of the hole and the ends of the cylinder. Numerical solutions are obtained by replacing the boundary value problem by a finite difference approximation and solving the resulting system of linear algebraic equations by an iteration procedure.

1. Introduction.

In this paper we study the deformations of perforated cylindrical elastic shells that are subjected to surface and boundary forces. The presence of a hole in the shell's wall significantly alters the distribution and magnitudes of the stresses. Approximate procedures, which are restricted in their applicability, have been proposed by various authors [1-6] to determine these stresses. The paper by Savin [4] also contains a general discussion of shell cutout problems and references to previous work.

For a specific cutout problem we propose a numerical method to determine the stresses and displacements in the shell. However, with suitable modifications the method can be extended to include a variety of cut-out shapes and other boundary and loading conditions.

For the specific problem investigated the perforation is assumed to be rectangular, i.e. the edges of the cutout coincide with generators and parallel circles of the cylinder's mid-surface. The shell is loaded by a uniform pressure applied normal to the surface. On the simply supported boundaries the tangential membrane displacements and the normal membrane stresses vanish. It is assumed that the deformations are adequately described by the Donnell thin shell theory, see e.g. [7,8].

The method consists of replacing the boundary value problem of the Donnell theory by a finite difference approximation. The resulting algebraic equations are then solved by a special iteration method involving two acceleration parameters. This procedure is described in Sec. 3. The computational methods required to apply the method are outlined in Sec. 4. In Sec. 5 the results of the computation are discussed and graphs of stresses and displacements are shown.

The numerical results indicate that the stresses are large near the corner of the rectangular cutout. These high stresses may have slowed the convergence of the iterative procedure. We therefore conjecture that for problems with smoother cutouts the method may be more efficient.

2. Formulation.

The cylindrical shell is of mean radius R , thickness t and length $2L$. A cylindrical coordinate system r, θ, z is employed where r and θ are plane polar coordinates and z is the axial distance measured from one end of the cylinder.

Thus the coordinates are in the ranges $R - t/2 \leq r \leq R + t/2$, $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$, $-L \leq z \leq L$. The dimensionless coordinates x and y are defined by the relations*

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv z/L, & |x| &\leq 1, \\ (2.1) \quad y &= (R/L)\theta, & |y| &\leq (\pi R/L) \equiv \ell, \end{aligned}$$

The wall of the shell is perforated by a rectangular hole whose edges are on the lines $x = \pm a$ and $y = \pm \beta \ell$ where $0 \leq a$, $\beta < 1$. The shell is deformed by a uniform pressure p applied normal to the surface. The ends of the shell and the edges of the hole are simply supported and the normal membrane stresses and the tangential membrane displacements vanish there. The solution is therefore assumed to be symmetric with respect to the planes $x = 0$ and $y = 0$. Thus we consider the region D , see Fig. 1, which is one quarter of the cylindrical surface. Symmetry conditions must be specified along the following three boundary lines of D : $a \leq x \leq 1$, $y = 0$; $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $y = \ell$; $x = 0$, $\beta \ell \leq y \leq \ell$.

The Donnell theory for cylindrical shells, see e.g. [7,8], can be formulated as a boundary value problem for two coupled fourth order partial differential equations in the two dependent variables $W(z, \theta)$ and $F(z, \theta)$. Here, W is the normal displacement of the midsurface $r = R$ of the cylinder and EF is the membrane

*The coordinates are scaled so that the cylinder is of dimensionless length equal to 2. However, there are other scalings, say with L in (2.1) replaced by any characteristic dimension of the shell, which yield formulations similar to the one given below.

stress function where E is Young's modulus. In dimensionless variables the differential equations of the Donnell theory are

$$(2.2a) \quad \Delta^2 w + \gamma \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = 1, \quad \Delta^2 f = \gamma \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}$$

where Δ^2 is the biharmonic operator,

$$(2.2b) \quad \Delta^2 \equiv \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4}{\partial y^4}.$$

On the boundary of D we specify that

$$w = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} = f = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad \text{for } x = 1, \quad 0 \leq y \leq \ell,$$

$$(2.3a) \quad w = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} = f = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad \text{for } x = a, \quad 0 \leq y \leq \beta \ell,$$

$$w = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} = f = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = 0, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq a, \quad y = \beta \ell,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial y^3} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial y^3} = 0, \quad \text{for } y = 0, \quad a \leq x \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \\ y = \ell, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

(2.3b)

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x^3} = 0, \quad \text{for } x = 0, \quad \beta \ell \leq y \leq \ell.$$

The dimensionless variables in (2.2) and (2.3) are defined by

$$w(x,y) \equiv w(z,\theta) , \quad f(x,y) \equiv [12(1-\nu^2)]^{1/2} C \frac{F(z,\theta)}{t} \quad (2.4)$$

$$C \equiv \frac{E}{12(1-\nu^2)\rho L} \left(\frac{t}{L}\right)^3 , \quad \tau \equiv [12(1-\nu^2)]^{1/2} \frac{L^2}{Rt} ,$$

where ν is Poisson's ratio. The membrane stresses σ_z^0 , σ_θ^0 and $\sigma_{z\theta}^0$ and the corresponding dimensionless stresses Σ_x^0 , Σ_y^0 and Σ_{xy}^0 are given in terms of the dimensionless stress function $f(x,y)$ by

$$\Sigma_x^0(x,y) \equiv A\sigma_z^0(z,\theta) = \frac{\partial^2 f(x,y)}{\partial y^2} , \quad \Sigma_y^0(x,y) \equiv A\sigma_\theta^0(z,\theta) = \frac{\partial^2 f(x,y)}{\partial x^2} , \quad (2.5)$$

$$\Sigma_{xy}^0(x,y) \equiv A\sigma_{z\theta}^0(z,\theta) = - \frac{\partial^2 f(x,y)}{\partial x \partial y}$$

where

$$A^{-1} \equiv [12(1-\nu^2)]^{1/2} \rho(L/t)^2 .$$

The quantities c_z^1 , c_θ^1 and $c_{z\theta}^1$, which are proportional to the bending moments, and the dimensionless bending stresses m_x , m_y and m_{xy} are given in terms of $w(x,y)$ by,

$$m_x(x,y) \equiv Bc_z^1(z,\theta) = \frac{\partial^2 w(x,y)}{\partial x^2} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 w(x,y)}{\partial y^2} , \quad (2.6)$$

$$m_y(x,y) \equiv Bc_\theta^1(z,\theta) = \frac{\partial^2 w(x,y)}{\partial y^2} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 w(x,y)}{\partial x^2} ,$$

$$m_{xy}(x,y) \equiv (1-\nu)Bc_{z\theta}^1(z,\theta) = \frac{\partial^2 w(x,y)}{\partial x \partial y} ,$$

where

$$B \equiv - \frac{t}{12p} \left(\frac{t}{L} \right)^2 .$$

If the displacements of the midsurface of the shell in the z and θ directions are $U(z, \theta)$ and $V(z, \theta)$ respectively, then the dimensionless displacements $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ are defined by

$$u(x, y) \equiv \left(\frac{R}{L} \right) \frac{U(z, \theta)}{C} , \quad v(x, y) \equiv \left(\frac{R}{L} \right) \frac{V(z, \theta)}{C} .$$

The membrane stress displacement relations of the Donnell theory thus reduce to [7, 8],

$$\Sigma_x^o = \frac{\gamma}{1-\nu^2} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \nu \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + w \right) \right], \quad \Sigma_y^o = \frac{\gamma}{1-\nu^2} \left[\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + w + \nu \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right],$$

(2.7)

$$\Sigma_{xy}^o = \frac{\gamma}{2(1+\nu)} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) .$$

The relations (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) and the conditions (2.3) imply that the required boundary and symmetry conditions* are satisfied.

The complete formulation of the boundary value problem consists of the differential equations (2.2) and the boundary conditions (2.3). The solutions depend only on the parameters α , β , ℓ and γ . We obtain approximate solutions for fixed α , β , and ℓ and a sequence of γ values.

*The relations actually imply that the membrane displacements tangential to each boundary are constants. However, these constants are set equal to zero.

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

3. Numerical Methods.

To apply the approximate method the region D is covered with a rectilinear mesh. The mesh is of uniform spacing δ in the x and y directions. The mesh is chosen so that the boundary lines of D coincide with mesh lines. There are $M + 1$ mesh lines in the x direction and $N + 1$ in the y direction so that,

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{E} = 1/M = \delta/N.$$

The mesh points (x_i, y_j) are defined by,

$$(3.2) \quad x_i = i\delta, \quad y_j = j\delta, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, M; \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N,$$

and the integers I and J are defined by,

$$x_I = \alpha, \quad y_J = \beta \delta.$$

The mesh region D_δ is defined as the set of points (x_i, y_j) interior to and on the boundary of D . The mesh region D'_δ is obtained from D_δ by excluding the mesh points: (x_M, y_j) , $j = 0, \dots, N$; (x_I, y_j) , $j = 0, \dots, J$; (x_i, y_J) , $i = 0, \dots, I$.

At each point of D'_δ the solutions $w(x_i, y_j)$, $f(x_i, y_j)$ of (2.2), (2.3) are assumed to be approximated by w_{ij} , f_{ij} which satisfy the difference equations,

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta_\delta^2 f_{ij} + \tilde{\gamma} L_x w_{ij} = 0, \quad \Delta_\delta^2 w_{ij} + \gamma L_x f_{ij} = 1, \quad (x_i, y_j) \in D'_\delta$$

Here the difference operator Δ_δ^2 , obtained by replacing derivatives in the differential operator (2.2b) by centered difference quotients, is given by

$$(3.4a) \quad \Delta_{\delta}^2 = L_x^2 + 2L_x L_y + L_y^2.$$

L_x and L_y are the "one-dimensional" centered second difference operators defined, for any mesh function* $\{g_{ij}\}$, by

$$L_x g_{ij} = (g_{i+1,j} - 2g_{i,j} + g_{i-1,j})(\delta)^{-2},$$

(3.4b)

$$L_y g_{ij} = (g_{i,j+1} - 2g_{i,j} + g_{i,j-1})(\delta)^{-2}.$$

The approximating quantities $\{w_{ij}\}$ and $\{f_{ij}\}$ must satisfy difference equivalents of the boundary conditions (2.3) where all derivatives are replaced by centered difference approximations. Fictitious mesh points exterior to D_{δ} are introduced to apply the difference equations.

Thus a system of $2[M(N+1) - (I+1)(J+1)]$ linear algebraic equations in the $2[M(N+1) - (I+1)(J+1)]$ unknowns $\{w_{ij}\}$ and $\{f_{ij}\}$ is obtained. We assume that as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ the solution of the algebraic system converges to the solution of (2.2), (2.3). Hence for a sufficiently small δ the solution of the algebraic problem should yield a 'close' approximation to the solution of the boundary value problem.

For large M and N , it is impractical to solve the algebraic system by a direct method, e.g. Gaussian elimination. Therefore, an iteration procedure is used to obtain approximate solutions of this system. With $w_{ij}^{(0)}$ as an initial guess, for fixed values of

*A function $\{g_{ij}\}$ defined only at points of the mesh is called a mesh function.

α , β , ℓ and γ , a sequence of iterates $[w_{ij}^{(n)}, f_{ij}^{(n)}]$ are defined by the recursions

$$(3.5) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} \Delta_{\delta}^2 f_{ij}^{(n)} &= \tau L_x w_{ij}^{(n)}, & \Delta_{\delta}^2 w_{ij}^{(n+1)} &= -\tau L_x f_{ij}^{(n)} + 1, \\ w_{ij}^{(n+1)} &= \lambda \bar{w}_{ij}^{(n+1)} + (1 - \lambda) w_{ij}^{(n)} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \begin{aligned} n &= 0, 1, \dots, \\ (x_i, y_j) &\in D'_{\delta} \end{aligned}$$

Each iterate must also satisfy the difference equivalents of (2.3). The acceleration parameter λ should be determined so that the iterations (3.5) converge as rapidly as possible.

Therefore, for each n the solutions of two algebraic systems of the form

$$(3.6) \quad \Delta_{\delta}^2 g_{ij}^{(n+1)} = \phi_{ij}^{(n)} \quad (x_i, y_j) \in D'_{\delta}$$

are required where the mesh function $\{\phi_{ij}^{(n)}\}$ is either $\{\tau L_x w_{ij}^{(n)}\}$ or $\{-\tau L_x f_{ij}^{(n)} + 1\}$ depending upon whether $\{g_{ij}^{(n)}\}$ is $\{f_{ij}^{(n)}\}$ or $\{w_{ij}^{(n+1)}\}$. A line relaxation procedure, which is a simplification of the alternating direction method [9,10] is used to obtain a crude approximation to the solution of (3.6). A single horizontal and a single vertical sweep of the mesh is performed instead of alternating back and forth between horizontal and vertical sweeps.* Thus the approximate solution $\{g_{ij}^{(n+1)}\}$ of (3.6) is defined as the solution of the one dimensional difference equations [10]:

*A limited number of numerical experiments indicate that the convergence rate of (3.5) essentially does not change if several sweeps are made through the mesh.

$$(3.7) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} (1+\rho L_y^2) \varepsilon_{ij}^{(n+1)} &= \varepsilon_{ij}^{(n+1/2)} + \rho L_y^2 \varepsilon_{ij}^{(n)} , \\ (1+\rho L_x^2) \varepsilon_{ij}^{(n+1/2)} &= \varepsilon_{ij}^{(n)} - 2\rho L_y L_x \varepsilon_{ij}^{(n)} - \rho L_y^2 \varepsilon_{ij}^{(n)} + \rho \phi_{ij}^{(n)} \end{aligned} \right\} (x_i, y_j) \in D'_\delta ,$$

where $\left\{ \varepsilon_{ij}^{(n+1/2)} \right\}$ and $\left\{ \varepsilon_{ij}^{(n+1)} \right\}$ must also satisfy the appropriate difference boundary conditions. The matrices corresponding to the one dimensional difference operators in (3.7) are easily inverted by factoring. The number ρ is a second acceleration parameter and should be determined so that the iterations (3.5) converge as rapidly as possible.

4. Computational Procedures.

Application of the numerical procedure requires that the mesh spacing be 'small'. An acceptable value of δ is determined by comparing the solutions of a sequence of test calculations with successively finer meshes. From these tests we conclude that the $\delta = 1/24$ mesh yields sufficiently accurate solutions for the range of parameters considered. The numerical criterion for convergence is that the iterations satisfy the condition,

$$(4.1) \quad z^{(n)} \equiv \max_{(x_i, y_j) \in D'_\delta} \left\{ |w_{ij}^{(n)} - w_{ij}^{(n-1)}|, |f_{ij}^{(n)} - f_{ij}^{(n-1)}| \right\} < 10^{-k}$$

The value of k that is employed depends on the magnitudes of the solutions. For all our calculations we used $k = 8$ or 9 . The condition, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z^{(n)} = 0$ is only necessary for convergence.

It is found, starting from a given initial iterate, that the number of iterations required to satisfy (4.1) depends on the values of ρ and λ . For fixed α , β , ℓ and τ , the optimal values λ_0 and ρ_0 are defined as those values which minimize the number of iterations necessary to satisfy (4.1). To obtain estimates for them a series of test calculations are employed. Thus for a fixed configuration, i.e. fixed α , β and ℓ , and usually employing a coarse mesh estimates of λ_0 and ρ_0 are determined for an increasing sequence of τ values. These values are used for the finer meshes and λ_0 and ρ_0 for other values of τ are estimated by interpolating or extrapolating. In Table I representative values are given of the acceleration parameters that were employed.

TABLE I

Acceleration Parameters Employed in the Computation

SHELL I			SHELL II	
τ	λ	ρ	λ	ρ
340	1.0	24.6	1.0	10.0
680	.675	9.7	.55	10.0
1020	.30	9.7	.25	9.6
1360	.20	9.7	-	-
1700	.12	9.7	.10	9.6

Numerical solutions are obtained for two configurations, which we call Shells I and II, and a sequence of values of

$\mathcal{T} = 340, 600, 1020, 1360, 1700$. Shells I and II are defined respectively by, $\alpha = \frac{10}{24}$, $\beta = \frac{10}{22}$, $\ell = \frac{22}{24}$ and $\alpha = 7/24$, $\beta = 7/22$, $\ell = 22/24$. The initial iterate for a given \mathcal{T} is taken as the 'converged' solution for the nearest \mathcal{T} for the same shell. When the iterations converge the dimensionless stresses are computed employing obvious finite difference equivalents of (2.5) and (2.6). It is observed that the number of iterations necessary for convergence depends on the values of the parameters α , β , ℓ and \mathcal{T} . For example, with a fixed configuration the number increases rapidly as \mathcal{T} increases, and with fixed ℓ and \mathcal{T} the number increases as α and β decrease. In all cases a relatively large number of iterations is needed to satisfy (4.1). For example using Shell I and $\mathcal{T} = 340$, 492 iterations are needed, and for $\mathcal{T} = 1020$, 2481 iterations are required. However, the computing time per iteration is relatively small, e.g. the above computations required approximately 13 and 65 minutes* respectively.

5. Presentation of Results.

Representative graphs of the dimensionless stresses and normal displacement obtained from the numerical solution for Shell I with $\mathcal{T} = 1700$ are given in Fig's. 2-8. In these figures the variations with the axial distance are shown for a sequence of y values. These results indicate that near the corner of the

*All computations employed $\nu = .3$ and were performed on the IBM-7090 computer at the A.E.C. Computing and Applied Mathematics Center of the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University.

cutout, $x = a$, $y = \beta l$, the numerical stresses are quite large. The hole apparently affects the stress distribution everywhere in the shell. The hoop membrane stress $\bar{\sigma}_x^0$ and the axial bending stress $\bar{\sigma}_x^1$ are influenced more by the hole than the other stresses. If $a = \beta = 0$, the stresses and displacements obtained from the solution of the boundary value problem are independent of y . These quantities are indicated with a super-bar and are given by,

$$\bar{w}(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} - \frac{(\cos \beta x \cosh \beta x + \tan \beta \tanh \beta \sin \beta x \sinh \beta x)}{\gamma^2 \cos \beta \cosh \beta (1 + \tan^2 \beta \tanh^2 \beta)},$$

$$(5.1) \quad \bar{\sigma}_x^0(x) = \bar{\sigma}_{xy}^0(x) = \bar{\sigma}_{xy}^1(x) \equiv 0, \quad \bar{\sigma}_y^0(x) = \gamma \bar{w}(x),$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_x^1(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \bar{\sigma}_y^1(x) = \frac{d^2 \bar{w}}{dx^2}$$

where

$$\gamma^2 = 4\beta^4.$$

These results are shown by the dotted curves in Fig's. 3, 5, 6 and 8. In Fig. 8, the lateral displacement $\bar{w}(x)$ coincides, within the resolution of the graph, with the numerical results for the line $y = 0$. These figures indicate that the cutout and its corner may increase the magnitudes of the stresses and displacements by large factors.

Although their magnitudes differ, the form of the stresses and displacements for other values of \mathcal{V} and for Shell II are similar to those shown in Fig's. 2-8. A simple asymptotic solution is obtained for large \mathcal{V} by dividing the differential equations by \mathcal{V} and letting $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \infty$ while $w(x,y;\infty)$ and $f(x,y;\infty)$ remain finite. Integrating the resulting equations and applying the boundary conditions we obtain

$$w(x,y;\infty) = f(x,y;\infty) \equiv 0 .$$

To illustrate this result, graphs are shown in Fig's. 9-11 of typical stresses and displacements for Shells I and II on the line $y = \ell$ for an increasing sequence of \mathcal{V} values. All stresses and displacements seem to approach zero as \mathcal{V} increases. The decrease in the magnitudes of the solution as \mathcal{V} increases is another possible cause for the slow rate of convergence for large \mathcal{V} .

1. The first part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

2. The second part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

3. The third part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

4. The fourth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

5. The fifth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

6. The sixth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

7. The seventh part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

8. The eighth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

9. The ninth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

10. The tenth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

11. The eleventh part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

12. The twelfth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

13. The thirteenth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

14. The fourteenth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

15. The fifteenth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

References

1. Lourye, A.I., "Concentration of Stresses in the Vicinity of an Aperture in the Surface of a Circular Cylinder," IMM-NYU 280, Translated from Prikl. Mat. Mekh., 10, 397-406 (1946).
2. Whitham, D., "Die Kreiszyinderschale Mit Kreisförmigen Ausschnitt Unter Schubbeanspruchung," Ing. Arch. 28, 435-446 (1958).
3. Vainberg, D.V. and Siniavski, "Approximate Analysis of Shells with Holes by the Methods of Potential Theory," Problems of Continuum Mechanics, S.I.A.M., Phil. Penn. 1961, 570-581.
4. Savin, G.N., "The Stress Distribution in a Thin Shell with an Arbitrary Hole," Problems in Continuum Mechanics, S.I.A.M., Phil. Penn. 1961, 382-405.
5. Guz, O.M., "On an Approximate Method for Determining the Concentration of Stresses Around Curvilinear Holes in Shells," Prikl. Mekh. ANUSSR, Inst. Mekh. 8, 605-612 (1962).
6. Hyint, T., Radok, J.R.M., and Wolfson, M., "Numerical Stress Analysis of Circular Cylindrical Shells," PIBAL Report No. 592, Polytechnic Inst. of Bklyn. 1961.
7. Reiss, E.L., "On the Theory of Cylindrical Shells," Q.J. Mech. and Appl. Math. 15, 325-338 (1962).
8. Kempner, J., "Remarks on Donnell's Equations," J. Appl. Mech. 22, 117,118 (1955).
9. Douglas, J., Jr. and Rachford, H.H., Jr., "On the Numerical Solution of Heat Conduction Problems in Two and Three Space Variables," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 82, 421-439 (1956).
10. Conte, S.D. and Dames, R.T., "An Alternating Direction Method for Solving the Biharmonic Equation," Math. Tables and Aids to Computation 12, 198-205 (1958).

List of Figures

- Fig. 1. The domain of integration, D , which is one quarter of the cylindrical surface.
- Fig. 2. The variation with the axial distance x of the dimensionless axial membrane stress Σ_x^0 for Shell I for a sequence of y values. The hole occurs at $y = 10/24$.
- Fig. 3. The variation with x of the dimensionless hoop membrane stress Σ_y^0 for Shell I for a sequence of y values. The dotted curve is the stress if no hole is present.
- Fig. 4. The variation with x of Σ_{xy}^0 for Shell I for a sequence of y values.
- Fig. 5. The variation with x of the dimensionless axial bending stress Σ_x^I for Shell I for a sequence of y values. For the shell with no cutout, Σ_x^I coincides, within the resolution of the graph, with the x -axis for the range $0 \leq x < .833$. For $.833 \leq x \leq 1$, Σ_x^I is represented by the dotted curve.
- Fig. 6. The variation with x of Σ_y^I for Shell I for a sequence of y values. For the shell with no cut-out, Σ_y^I coincides, within the resolution of the graph, with the x -axis for the range $0 \leq x < .875$. For $.875 \leq x \leq 1$, Σ_y^I is represented by the dotted curve.

Fig. 7. The variation with x of \sum_{xy}^I for Shell I for a sequence of y values.

Fig. 8. The variation with x of the dimensionless normal displacement w for Shell I for a sequence of y values.

Fig. 9. The variation with x of the dimensionless normal displacement w for Shells I and II on the line $y = \mathcal{L}$ for a sequence of \mathcal{V} values.

Fig. 10. The variation with x of \sum_x^0 for Shells I and II on the line $y = \mathcal{L}$ for a sequence of \mathcal{V} values.

Fig. 11. The variation with x of \sum_y^I for Shells I and II on the line $y = \mathcal{L}$ for a sequence of \mathcal{V} values.




FIG. I




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9a




FIG. 9b




FIG. 10a




FIG. 10b




FIG. 11a





FIG. 11b

DISTRIBUTION LIST UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL REPORTS
issued under Contract Nonr-285(42)

Chief of Nav. Res. Dept. of Navy, Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Code 438 (2)	Chief of Staff, Dept. of Army Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Dev. Br. (R&D Div.) (1) Res. Br. (R&D Div.) (1) Spec. Weapons Br. (R&D) (1)
CO, Office of Nav. Res. Br. Office, 495 Summer St. Boston 10, Massachusetts (1)	Office of Chief of Engineers Dept. of Army, Washington 25, D.C. Attn: ENG-HL Lib. Br. Adm. (1) Ser. Div. (1) ENG-WD Plan Div. Civ. Wks (1) ENG-EB Port. Constr. Br., Eng. Div. Mil. Cons. (1) ENG-EA Struc. Br. Eng. Div. Mil. Constr. (1) ENG-NB Spec. Engr. Br., Eng. R&D Div. (1)
CO, Office of Nav. Res. Br. Office, J. Crerar Library 86 E. Randolph St. Chicago 11, Illinois (1)	CO, Engin. Res. Dev. Lab. Fort Belvoir, Virginia (1)
CO, Office of Nav. Res. Br. Office, 207 West 24th St. New York 11, N.Y. (1)	Office of Chief of Ordnance Dept of Army, Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Res. and Mat. Br., (Ord. R&D Div.) (1)
CO, Office of Nav. Res. Br. Office, 1030 E. Green St. Pasadena, California (1)	CO, Watertown Arsenal Atertown, Massachusetts Attn: Lab. Div. (1)
CO, Office of Nav. Res. Br. Office, Navy 100, Fleet P.O. New York, N.Y. (25)	CO, Frankford Arsenal Bridesburg Station Philadelphia 37, Penna. Attn: Lab. Div. (1)
Dir., Nav. Res. Labs. Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Tech. Info. Officer (6) Code 6200 (1) Code 6205 (1) Code 6250 (1) Code 6260 (1)	Office of Ordnance Research 2127 Myrtle Dr., Duke Station Durham, North Carolina Attn: Div. of Engin. Sci. (1)
ASTIA, Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia (10)	CO, Squier Signal Lab. Fort Monmouth, N.J. Attn: Comp. and Mat. Br. (1)
Office of Techn. Services Dept. of Commerce Washington 25, D.C. (1)	Chief of Naval Operations Dept. of Navy, Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Op 91 (1) Op 03EG (1)
Dir. of Def. Res. and Engin. The Pentagon, Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Techn. Library (1)	Commandant, Marine Corps Headquarters, USMC Washington 25, D.C. (1)
Chief, Armed Forces Special Weapons Project The Pentagon, Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Techn. Info. Div. (2) Weapons Effects Div. (1) Spec. Field Projects (1) Blast and Shock Br. (1)	Office of Chief Signal Officer Dept. of Army, Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Engin. and Techn. Div. (1)
Office of Secy. of the Army The Pentagon, Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Army Library (1)	



Chief, Bureau of Ships
Dept. of Navy
Washington 25, D.C.

Attn: Code 106 (1)
Code 312 (5)
Code 320 (1)
Code 370 (1)
Code 375 (1)
Code 420 (1)
Code 421 (1)
Code 423 (2)
Code 425 (1)
Code 440 (1)
Code 442 (2)
Code 443 (1)
Code 525 (1)
Code 633 (1)

Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics
Dept. of Navy
Washington 25, D.C.

Attn: AE-4 (1)
AV-34 (1)
AD (1)
AD-2 (1)
RS-7 (1)
RS-8 (1)
SI (1)
AER-126 (1)

Chief, Bureau of Ordnance
Dept. of Navy
Washington 25, D.C.

Attn: Ad3 (1)
Re (1)
ReS (1)
ReU (1)
ReS5 (1)
ReS1 (1)
Ren (1)

Spec. Proj. Office, Bur. Ord.
Dept. of Navy
Washington 25, D.C.
Attn: Missile Br. (2)

Chief, Bur. Yards and Docks
Dept. of Navy
Washington 25, D.C.

Attn: Code D-202 (1)
Code D-202.3 (1)
Code 220 (1)
Code D-222 (1)
Code D-410C (1)
Code D-440 (1)
Code D-500 (1)

Commanding Officer and Director
David Taylor Model Basin
Washington 7, D.C.

Attn: Code 140 (1)
Code 600 (1)
Code 700 (1)
Code 720 (1)
Code 725 (1)
Code 731 (1)
Code 740 (2)

CO, U.S. Naval Ordnance Lab.
White Oak, Maryland

Attn: Techn. Library (2)
Techn. Eval Dept. (1)

Director, Materials Lab.
N.Y. Naval Shipyard
Brooklyn 1, N.Y. (1)

CO, Portsmouth Naval Shipyard
Portsmouth, New Hampshire (2)

CO, Mare Island Nav. Shipyard
Vallejo, California (2)

CO and Director
U.S. Nav. Electron. Lab.
San Diego 52, California (1)

Officer-in-Charge

Nav. Civ. Engin. Res.
and Eval. Lab.

U.S. Nav. Constr. Battal. Center
Port Hueneme, California (2)

Dir., Nav. Air Experimental Sta.
Nav. Air Mat. Center, Nav. Base
Philadelphia 12, Penna.

Attn: Materials Lab. (1)
Structures Lab. (1)

Officer-in-Charge

Underwater Explos. Res. Div.
Norfolk Naval Shipyard
Portsmouth, Virginia

Attn: Dr. A.H. Keil (2)

CO, U.S. Nav. Proving Ground
Dahlgren, Virginia (1)

Supr. of Shipbuilding

USN and Nav. Inspec. of Ordnance
General Dynamics Corp.,

Electr. Boat Div.

Groton, Connecticut (1)

Supr. of Shipbuilding USN and Nav. Inspec. of Ordnance Newport News Shipbuilding and Dry Dock Co. Newport News, Virginia (1)	Director of Intelligence Headquarters, USAF Washington 25, D.C. Attn: PV Br. (Air Targ. Div) (1)
Supr. of Shipbuilding USN and Nav. Inspec. of Ordnance Ingalls Shipbuilding Corp. Pascagoula, Mississippi (1)	CO, A.F. Office Sci. Research Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Mechanics Div. (1)
CO, U.S. Nav. Admin. Unit MIT, Cambridge 39, Mass. (1)	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Dir. of Research (2)
Officer-in-Charge Postgrad. School for Naval Officers Webb Inst. of Nav. Arch. Crescent Beach Rd. Glen Cove, L.I., N.Y. (1)	Dir., Nat. Bur. of Standards Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Div. of Mechanics (1) Engin. Mech. Sect. (1) Aircraft Structures (1)
Supt., Nav. Gun Factory Washington 25, D.C. (1)	Comm., U.S. Coast Guard 1300 E. St., NW Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Chief, Test and Dev. Div. (1)
Comm., Nav. Ordnance Test Sta. China Lake, California Attn: Physics Div. (1) Mechanics Div. (1)	U.S. Maritime Administration General Admin. Office Bldg. 441 G St., NW Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Chief, Div. Prelim. Design (1)
CO, Nav. Ordnance Test Sta. Underwater Ordnance Div. 3202 E. Foothill Blvd. Pasadena 8, California Attn: Struc. Div. (1)	Nat. Aero. and Space Admin. Langley Research Center Langley Field, Virginia Attn: Structures Div. (2)
CO and Director U.S. Nav. Engin. Exp. Station Annapolis, Maryland (1)	Nat. Aero. and Space Admin. 1512 H St., NW Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Loads and Struc. Div. (2)
Supt. U.S. Nav. Postgrad. School Monterey, California (1)	Director, Forest Prod. Lab. Madison, Wisconsin (1)
Comm. Marine Corps Schools Quantico, Virginia Attn: Dir., MC Dev. Center (1)	Federal Aviation Agency Dept. of Commerce Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Chief, Air Engin. Div. (1) Chief, Air and Equip. Div. (1)
Comm Gen., USAF Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Res. and Dev. Div. (1)	National Science Foundation 1520 H. St., NW Washington, D.C. (1)
CO, Air Material Command Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio Attn: MCREX-B (1) Structures Div. (1)	General Dynamic Corp. Electr. Boat Div. Groton, Connecticut (1)
CO, USAF Inst. of Technology Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio Attn: Chief, Appl. Mech. Group (1)	

National Academy of Sciences 2101 Constitution Ave., Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Dir., Comm. on Ships Struc. Design (1)	Prof. D.C. Drucker, Chmn. Div. of Engineering Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island (1)
Exec. Secy., Comm. on Undersea Warfare (1)	Prof. John Duberg Dept. of Civ. Engineering University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois (1)
Newport News Shipbuilding and Dry Dock Co. Newport News, Virginia (1)	Prof. J. Bricksen Mech. Engineering Dept. Johns Hopkins University Baltimore 18, Maryland (1)
Ingalls Shipbuilding Corp. Pascagoula, Mississippi (1)	Prof. A.C. Bringen Dept. of Aero Engineering Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana (1)
Prof. Lynn S. Beedle Fritz Engineering Lab. Lehigh University Bethlehem, Penna. (1)	Prof. W. Flugge Dept. of Mech. Engineering Stanford, California (1)
Prof. R.L. Bisplinghoff Dept. of Aero. Engineering Massachusetts Inst. of Techn. Cambridge 39, Massachusetts (1)	Mr. M. Goland, VP and Dir. Southwest Research Institute 8500 Culebra Rd. San Antonio 6, Texas (1)
Prof. H.L. Bleich Dept. of Civ. Engineering Columbia University New York 27, New York (1)	Prof. J.N. Goodier Dept of Mech. Engineering Stanford University Stanford, California (1)
Prof. B.A. Boley Dept of Civ. Engineering Columbia University New York 27, New York (1)	Prof. L.L. Goodman Engineering Experimental Sta. University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota (1)
Dr. John F. Brahtz Southern California Labs Stanford Research Institute 820 Mission St. South Pasadena, California (1)	Prof. M. Hetenyi The Technical Institute Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois (1)
Dr. D.O. Brush Struc. Dept. 53-13 Lockheed Aircraft Corp. Missile Syst. Div. Sunnyvale, California (1)	Prof. P.G. Hodge Dept. of Mechanics Illinois Inst. of Technology Chicago 16, Illinois (1)
Prof. B. Budiansky Dept. of Mech. Engineering School Appl. Sciences Harvard University Cambridge 38, Massachusetts (1)	Prof. N.J. Hoff, Head Div. Aeronautical Engineering Stanford University Stanford, California (1)
Prof. Herbert Deresiewicz Dept. of Civ. Engineering Columbia University 632 W. 125th St. New York 27, N.Y. (1)	Prof. W.H. Hoppmann, II Dept. of Mechanics Rensselaer Polytechnic Inst. Troy, New York (1)

Prof. Bruce G. Johnston University Of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan	(1)	Prof. William A. Nash Dept of Engineering Mechanics University of Florida Gainesville, Florida	(1)
Prof. J. Kempner Dept. of Aero, Engineering and Appl. Mechanics Polytechnic Inst. of Brooklyn 333 Jay St. Brooklyn 1, N.Y.	(1)	Prof. W.M. Newmark, Head Dept of Civ. Engineering University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois	(1)
Prof. H.L. Langhaar Dept. of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois	(1)	Prof. E. Orowan Dept. of Mech. Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Techn. Cambridge 39, Massachusetts	(1)
Prof. B.J. Lazan, Director Engineering Experimental Sts. University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minnesota	(1)	Prof. Aris Phillips Dept. of Civ. Engineering 15 Prospect St. Yale University New Haven, Connecticut.	(1)
Prof. L.H. Lee Div. of Appl. Mathematics Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island	(1)	Prof. W. Prager, Chmn. Phys. Sci. Council Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island	(1)
Prof. George H. Lee, Dir. of Res. Rensselaer Polytechnic Inst. Troy, N.Y.	(1)	Prof. J.R.M. Radok Dept. of Aero Engineering and Appl. Mechanics Polytechnic Inst. of Brooklyn 333 Jay St. Brooklyn 1, N.Y.	(1)
Mr. S. Levy GE Electr. Research Lab. 3198 Chestnut St. Philadelphia, Penna.	(1)	Prof. L.L. Reiss Inst. of Mathematical Sciences New York University 4 Washington Place New York 3, N.Y.	(1)
Prof. Paul Lieber Geology Department University of California Berkeley 4, California	(1)	Prof. E. Reissner Dept. of Mathematics Massachusetts Inst. of Technology Cambridge 39, Massachusetts	(1)
Prof. Joseph Marin, Head. Dept. Engineering Mechanics College of Engin. and Arch. Pennsylvania State University University Park, Penna.	(1)	Prof. M.A. Sadowsky Dept. of Mechanics Rensselaer Polytechnic Inst. Troy, New York	(1)
Prof. R.D. Mindlin Dept. of Civ. Engineering Columbia University 632 W. 125th St. New York 27, New York		Prof. B.W. Shaffer Dept. of Mech. Engineering New York University University Heights New York 53, N.Y.	(1)
Prof. Paul M. Naghdi Building T-7 College of Engineering University of California Berkeley 4, California	(1)	Prof. J. Stallmeyer Dept. of Civ. Engineering University of Illinois Urban, Illinois	(1)

Prof. Eli Sternberg Dept. of Mechanics Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island	(1)	Prof. W.J. Hall Dept. of Civ. Engineering University of Illinois Urban, Illinois	(1)
Prof. T.Y. Thomas Grad. Inst. Math and Mech. Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana	(1)	Dr. Hyman Serbin Design Integration Dept. Hughes Aircraft Co. Culver City, California	(1)
Prof. S.M. Timoshenko School of Engineering Stanford University Stanford, California	(1)	Commander, WADD Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio Attn: WWRC WWRMDS WWRMDD	(1) (1) (1)
Prof. A.S. Velesztos Dept of Civ. Engineering University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois	(1)	Commanding Officer USINNOEU Kirtland Air Force Base Albuquerque, New Mexico Attn: Code 20 (Dr. J.N. Brennan)	(1)
Dr. W. Wenk Southwest Research Institute 8500 Culbera Rd. San Antonio, Texas	(1)	Legislative Reference Service Library of Congress Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Dr. E. Wenk	(1)
Prof. Dana Young Yale University New Haven, Connecticut	(1)	Dr. A. Ross Aircraft Nuclear Propulsion Dept. General Electric Co. Cincinnati 15, Ohio	(1)
Prof. R.A. Di Taranto Dept. of Mech. Engineering Drexel Institute 32nd and Chestnut Streets Philadelphia, Penna.	(1)	Dr. F. Lane General Applied Science Labs Stewart and Merrick Avenues Westbury, L.I., N.Y.	(1)
Mr. H.K. Koopman, Secy. Welding Res. Council Engineering Foundation 29 W. 39th St. New York 18, N.Y.	(2)	Commander Edward Leonard Asst. Navy Representative MIT Lincoln Lab. Lexington 73, Massachusetts	(1)
Prof. Walter R. Daniels School of Engin. and Archit. Howard University Washington 1, D.C.	(1)	Prof. W. Pohle Grad. Math. Dept. Adelphi City, L.I., N.Y.	(1)
Comm., (Code 753) U.S. Naval Ordnance Test Sta. China Lake California Attn: Techn. Library	(1)	Dr. Martin Goldgerg Research Dept. Grumann Aircraft Bethpage, L.I., N.Y.	(1)
Prof. J. D. Cermak Dept. of Civ. Engineering Colorado State University Fort Collins, Colorado	(1)	Prof. S.D. Larmarajan Aero Space Dept. San Diego State University San Diego 15, California	(1)

Professor R.P. Harrington, Head
Dept. of Aeronautical Engineering
University of Cincinnati
Cincinnati 21, Ohio (1)

Professor Eugene J. Brunelle, Jr.
Dept. of Aeronautical Engineering
Princeton University
Princeton, New Jersey

[illegible]

© 2000 Blackwell Science Ltd

PRINTED IN U.S.A.

